

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/018,976               | 03/14/2002      | Manfred Kogler       | 1406/34                 | 3027             |  |
| 25297 7                  | 590 08/08/2006  | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |  |
|                          | ILSON, TAYLOR & | GHULAMALI, QUTBUDDIN |                         |                  |  |
| 3100 TOWER<br>SUITE 1200 | BLVD            |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| DURHAM, NC 27707         |                 |                      | 2611                    |                  |  |
|                          |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/018,976      | KOGLER, MANFRED |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |
| Qutub Ghulamali | 2611            |  |

|   | Quiub Onulaman  | 2011   |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the d   | correspondence add   | ress                                       |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL   | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL   | LOWANCE.   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br>this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow<br>places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No<br>a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance<br>time periods:  | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aftice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m                    | fidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C   | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing  |   |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin  | g date of the final rejecti  | on.  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (<br>TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70   |   | E FIRST REPLT WAS F  | ILED MITHIN                                |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprince in the final Office in the final Offic | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp  | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be  | filed within two month   | ns of the date of                          |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | o avoid dismissal of th  | e appeal. Since                            |
| 3.   ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment is a final rejection.   | but prior to the date of filing a brief   | will not be entered b  | ecause                                     |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co.  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo   | nsideration and/or search (see NO   |  | ecause                                     |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  |   | educing or simplifying   | the issues for                             |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally rej   | jected claims.   |  |
| NOTE: See below. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33  | (a)).   |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co   | ompliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).                                |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  | :·  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | ·   | •  | _  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |   | ill be entered and an e  | explanation of                             |
| Claim(s) objected to:<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .  |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome all rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fa   | ils to provide a                           |
| 10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e   | entry is below or attacl   | ned.                                       |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br/><u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>   |   |  | nce because:                               |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper f   | No(s)  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |

Continuation of 11. The applicant's amendment dated 7/24/2006, changes the scope of the claim by adding limitation for filters that are each set based on identification of a PCM signal transmitted through the codec circuit, wherein the PCM signal is identified by means of a signal identification device configured to identify the PCM signal, which will require further reconsideration by the Examination Office.

QG.

MOHAMMED CHAYOUR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER